# Exhibit 1

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	
3	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
4	
5	****************
6	
7	DERICK ORTIZ, individually and on behalf of all
8	
9	others similarly situated,
10	
11	VS NO: 1:19-CV-01025-JL
12	
13	SIG SAUER, INC.
14	
15	*****************
16	
17	
18	
19	DEPOSITION OF SEAN TONER
20	
21	This virtual deposition taken by agreement of
22	
23	counsel, on May 19, 2021, commencing at 10:00 a.m.
	Page 1
	1490 1

	I	I
1		it first started the design phase in the
2		spring of 2013?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Have you consistently worked on the P320 since
5		you were staffed to it in the spring of 2013?
6	A	I worked on the P320 for approximately three
7		years until 2016, at which time I transitioned
8		over to a team lead position in the suppressor
9		product line in our company, and then I went
10		back to the pistol P320 team in roughly the
11		spring of 2017.
12	Q	So early 2016, you left to work on suppressor
13		projects?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	Did you leave to work on suppressor projects,
16		if you recall, before or after April 2016?
17	A	Can you say that again? You broke up.
18	Q	Do you recall when you left for suppressor
19		projects, was that before or after April of
20		2016?
21	A	I believe it was before. It was probably more
22		in the March time frame.
23	Q	Were you working on the P320 project when the
		Page 9

#### Case 1:19-cv-01025-JL Document 50-2 Filed 10/13/21 Page 4 of 23 SEAN TONER May 19, 2021

1		U.S. Army approached Sig about the discovery
2		of some drop fire issues with the M17, were
3		you on the P320 project at the time, I believe
4		it was April of 2016?
5	A	I was on the project when the Army informed us
6		of the drop fire incident, but that was not in
7		2016. It was in February of 2017.
8	Q	Thank you. So you were working on the P320
9		project in February 2017 when the Army
10		approached Sig, correct?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	And you were working on the P320 project when
13		the U.S. Army selected the pistol for the M17
14		pistol, right?
15	A	At the time that was roughly January of 2017,
16		I was still with the suppressor team, but once
17		we won the contract, I was transitioned over,
18		back over to the pistol.
19	Q	Got it. So you have been deposed previously,
20		right?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	How many times have you had your deposition
23		taken?
		Page 10

1		context?
2	A	It depends on context, both the Sig Sauer or
3		the contractor, if you want to use a generic
4		term, can submit an ECP and also the Army or
5		the government contractor can government
6		entity can submit an ECP.
7	Q	And did an ECP occur with the M17 service
8		pistol in early 2017?
9	А	I don't remember the exact date of when we
10		submitted our ECP, but yes, we did submit an
11		ECP.
12	Q	What was the nature of the ECP?
13	A	After the Army informed us about this problem,
14		we decided to take some mass out of the
15		components, and that is what that ECP was for.
16	Q	Why did you decide to take some mass out of
17		the components?
18	A	With further testing and evaluation, we saw
19		that the trigger was pulling itself,
20		essentially moving on its own when dropped at
21		certain angles, and reducing the mass
22		eliminated that deficiency.
23	Q	Did the Army consider this a problem?
		Page 32

1		not.
2	Q	I don't mean to put you on the spot, would you
3		say the U.S. Army has more or less experience
4		in pistol design and engineering than Sig
5		Sauer?
6	А	It sounds like a pretty you are asking for
7		my opinion. I would say Sig Sauer has got
8		more experience.
9	Q	Okay. Is the P320 drop safe?
10	A	Yes, it passes U.S. safety standards.
11		MR. JOYCE: I am just going to
12		object based on time frame, in terms of which
13		iteration of the design you are talking about.
14	Q	Let me try that again.
15		For P320s that have not been sent in
16		to the voluntary upgrade program, let's say
17		preupgrade of P320s, are those pistols drop
18		safe?
19	A	Yes, they pass U.S. safety standards for CME
20		and NIJ and some state compliance.
21	Q	Are those pistols safe to drop?
22	А	Yes, per the safety standards that are
23		available, yes.
		Page 38

1	A	In connection with P320?
2	Q	Yes.
3	A	He had no role.
4	Q	Let me try that again.
5		What was his role with the M17
6		project?
7	A	He is the program manager, so we have two
8		program managers at Sig for the MHS program or
9		had, excuse me. His role was mainly to more
10		customer interaction where the secondary
11		program manager was dealing with more
12		production side.
13	Q	Do you agree with Mr. Bailey that dropping any
14		weapon with the safety off is inherently
15		dangerous?
16	A	Safe handling of a firearm, loaded weapon of
17		any type, if you drop it, you are not
18		guaranteed that it is not going to go off.
19	Q	That is based on an understanding that
20		safeties are mechanical devices. They can
21		fail. It is always possible that a pistol can
22		fire when dropped, right?
23	A	Yes, and in our manual, we clearly state that.
		Page 45

1		explicitly. I think it was 2 meters high at
2		multiple angles.
3	Q	Did Kirikou indicate that they had any drop
4		issues at this time?
5	A	I don't recall whether they dropped Sig Sauer
6		pistols or not. I know they said they had
7		some experience with that problem with other
8		weapons.
9	Q	Okay. And this does not refresh your
10		recollection as to whether this was before or
11		after the Army got in contact with Sig, right?
12	A	Based on this e-mail, it looks like it is in
13		January of '17, so it would be somewhere just
14		before the Army notified us over that problem.
15	Q	And I assume LW trigger, that refers to
16		lightweight trigger, right?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And am I correct that at a general level, the
19		voluntary upgrade program replaced the trigger
20		with a lightweight trigger, is that correct?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And the sear is replaced too for the voluntary
23		upgrade program, right?
		Page 61

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	The voluntary upgrade program, that replaces a
3		sear with a lighter sear, is that true as
4		well?
5	A	Can you say that again?
6	Q	Yes, the change to the sear pursuant to the
7		voluntary upgrade program, would that be a
8		lighter sear pursuant to the upgrade?
9	A	Yes, it is a reduced mass sear compared to the
10		preupgrade model.
11	Q	Is the striker pin change pursuant to the
12		voluntary upgrade program?
13	A	Yes, we also reduced the mass of the striker.
14	Q	In the voluntary upgrade program, did Sig
15		change the sear spring?
16	A	No.
17	Q	So the trigger striker pin and sear though are
18		replaced components in the voluntary upgrade
19		program, right?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And those three components, the trigger,
22		striker pin and sear were all replaced with
23		more with lighter components, right?
		Page 62

1	A	Yes, reduced mass components.
2	Q	So you are testing here certain changes that
3		were ultimately made through the voluntary
4		upgrade program, right?
5	A	I am sorry. Can you say that again?
6		MR. JOYCE: Objection to form. You
7		can answer over objection.
8	Q	So through this drop testing with a lighter
9		trigger, striker pin and sear, you are
10		essentially testing components that ultimately
11		were implemented in the voluntary upgrade
12		program, meaning a lighter striker pin and
13		sear, right?
14	A	They didn't end up being the exact geometry
15		and form that we used in the voluntary upgrade
16		program, but they were reduced mass.
17	Q	Were you testing a lighter trigger, striker
18		pin and sear in connection with any
19		anticipated drop issues at this time?
20	A	Again, this was for a specific customer,
21		international customer, that was testing to a
22		standard that was above and beyond even the
23		military standard of the U.S. government.
		Page 63
		rage 03

1 where he says, actually, third sentence. 2 Do you see where he says, "I have 3 attached a link to a video so you can see what 4 happens - the first link is best. When the slide and frame impact the concrete at the 5 6 same moment, the trigger continues to move to 7 the rear, just enough to deactivate the safeties and apparently cause the striker to 8 9 move forward with enough force to fire. In other words, everything works as designed and 10 11 no safeties fail. The trigger just pulls itself." 12 13 Do you see that? 14 Α Yes. 15 Is that an accurate synopsis of the drop fire 0 issue with the P320? 16 17 Α Let me read it again, please. 18 Q Sure. 19 Yes, what he is describing is when the gun Α 20 impacts the ground or the concrete at an angle 21 where the slide hits first, it causes the 22 trigger to keep moving through inertia, and that is what is activating the weapon. 23 Page 68

## Case 1:19-cv-01025-JL Document 50-2 Filed 10/13/21 Page 12 of 23 SEAN TONER May 19, 2021

1	Q	And when I say lightweight components, I mean
2		the trigger, sear and striker safety. Those
3		were replaced with lighter weight components,
4		right?
5	A	No.
6	Q	Okay. Was the trigger replaced with a lighter
7		trigger as part of the voluntary upgrade
8		program?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Was the sear replaced with a lighter sear as a
11		result of the voluntary upgrade program?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Now, as it relates to drop safety and not the
14		out-of-battery discharge issue alleged in
15		Hartley, drop safety only, what components
16		were changed in connection with the voluntary
17		upgrade program?
18	А	The trigger was replaced with a lighter
19		version, reduced mass, I should say. The sear
20		was replaced with a reduced mass version, and
21		the striker pin was replaced with a reduced
22		mass version.
23	Q	Striker pin, okay. Thank you.
		Page 85

1 Were there any other parts changes 2 relevant to drop safety enacted through the 3 voluntary upgrade program for the P320? 4 Α We did change the safety lever. We went from 5 a noncaptive safety level to a captive safety 6 level, so it was captive on the trigger bar. 7 Q What do you mean by that? I am sorry, I don't even understand those words. 8 In plain English, what is that? 9 10 Α So when a user pulls the trigger, that 11 rearward motion of the trigger causes the 12 trigger bar to move forward. In turn, the 13 safety lever is in front of the trigger bar, 14 so when the trigger bar moves forward, the 15 safety lever rotates upward or in a 16 counterclockwise motion if you are looking from the right side of the weapon, and the 17 earlier designs of the 320 utilized a spring 18 19 and a safety lever in combination that kept it in place, but on the upgraded version, we put 20 21 a leg or material behind the trigger bar so 22 that the safety lever would only rotate with 23 the trigger bar so that when the trigger bar Page 86

1		is at rest, it prevents that safety lever from
2		rotating up.
3	Q	Okay. I am not an engineer. So again, this
4		is relevant to drop safety?
5	A	It is a redundant part of it, yes, there is a
6		minor portion of that.
7	Q	So are there any other parts at issue in the
8		voluntary upgrade program relative to drop
9		safety other than the new trigger, sear,
10		striker pin and safety lever?
11	A	No.
12	Q	And pursuant to the voluntary upgrade program,
13		did Sig use the same components for the
14		trigger, sear, striker pin and safety lever
15		for the various models of P320s?
16	A	Can you say that again? I am sorry, I lost
17		you there.
18	Q	So if someone were to send in a pistol to the
19		voluntary upgrade program, Sig, among other
20		component changes, would change out the
21		trigger, sear and striker pin safety lever,
22		right?
23	A	Yes.
		Page 87

## Case 1:19-cv-01025-JL Document 50-2 Filed 10/13/21 Page 15 of 23 SEAN TONER May 19, 2021

1	Q	And when Sig does that, would it use the same
2		components in one P320 as opposed to another
3		P320 sent in through the voluntary upgrade
4		program?
5	А	Yes, they would all be the same.
6	Q	What did Sig find when it conducted drop
7		testing with these new components after
8		strike that.
9		What did Sig find after conducting
10		drop testing with the new components?
11	А	So we found that we enhanced our drop safety
12		capability, and that we could pass other
13		standards that were beyond what the current
14		U.S. standards of SAAMI and NIJ are.
15	Q	Can we flip back to the second page for just a
16		moment, and let's look at that first bullet
17		point. It says, problem recognized in P320.
18		Do you see that?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Do you agree that the drop issue is a problem?
21	А	Again, it depends on what standard you are
22		comparing it to. U.S. standards are not very
23		stringent, so our gun, our design has passed
		Page 88

1 production? 2 Give me a moment to review the document, Α 3 please. 4 0 Yes, please. Can you repeat the question? 5 Α 6 Q Can the court reporter please read back my 7 question, please? (The record was read.) 8 9 Α Yes. 10 0 And again, for my next set of questions, I 11 will try to make this clear for the record, I 12 am only interested in the components that are 13 actually relevant to drop safety, meaning the 14 trigger, the sear, the striker pin and the 15 safety lever. 16 So those four postupgrade 17 components, are those the same components that 18 were ultimately used in the M17 and M18 19 project? 20 Α So are you asking me if the components listed 21 in this document are the ones we used in the 22 M17 and M18? 23 Q Yes.

Page 97

#### Case 1:19-cv-01025-JL Document 50-2 Filed 10/13/21 Page 17 of 23 SEAN TONER May 19, 2021

1 Other than differences in part numbers, yes. Α 2 I am sorry, I am confused by that answer. Q 3 So those are the same components, 4 though the part numbers may be different? Did 5 I get that right? 6 Α Correct, we have a unique set of numbers for 7 the MHS program. So even though the part numbers are the same, 8 Q 9 the components would function the same between the M17 and civilian 320, right? 10 11 Α Yes. MR. JOYCE: You said even though the 12 13 part numbers are the same. 14 Thank you. MR. DECKANT: Early 15 morning here for me, early start. 16 MR. JOYCE: No worry. 17 Apart from the different part numbers, those Q 18 four components, the trigger, sear, striker 19 pin and safety lever, would function the same 20 between the M17 and M18 and the civilian 21 upgrade of the P320, right? 22 Α Correct. 23 And again, I am only asking in the context of Q

Page 98

SEAN TONER

May 19, 2021

1		break for?
2		MR. DECKANT: Up to you.
3		MR. JOYCE: 45 minutes, does that
4		work for you?
5		THE WITNESS: Yes, that is fine.
6		That is what I was going to suggest.
7		MR. DECKANT: Let's reconvene at
8		1:45.
9		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 12:56. We
10		are going off the record.
11		(LUNCHEON RECESS.)
12		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on
13		the record. The time is 1:50.
14	FURT	HER INTERROGATORIES BY MR. DECKANT:
15		THE WITNESS: Before I get started,
16		I would like to offer a clarification on one
17		of my previous answers.
18	Q	Okay.
19	A	You had asked the question about the Army and
20		how they deviated from the TOP standard, and
21		can you just repeat that question again, and I
22		will answer it and clarify that.
23	Q	Sure. I don't exactly recall, but I think
		Page 104

1		what we are getting at is I may have asked, in
2		what ways did the Army's test deviate from
3		their TOP standard?
4	A	So when the Army was testing the M17 and M18,
5		they tested it with mechanical safety in the
6		off position as well as additional angles that
7		are beyond what the TOP outlines.
8	Q	Got it. It was one of those additional angles
9		where they noticed the drop fire issue, right?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	Did they notice the drop fire issue at one of
12		the angles originally called for from the
13		original TOP protocol?
14	A	No, it was an angle that was not part of the
15		standard.
16	Q	I am going to introduce another document.
17		Give me a second. It is Exhibit 2. Please
18		let me know when you have Exhibit 2 available.
19		(Whereupon, Exhibit Number 2,
20		test results spreadsheet, marked for
21		identification.)
22	A	I have it up.
23	Q	We are going to be focusing on the tab called
		Page 105

## Case 1:19-cv-01025-JL Document 50-2 Filed 10/13/21 Page 20 of 23 SEAN TONER May 19, 2021

		<u>-</u>
1	Q	Okay. And you have not seen any test results
2		indicating any drop fires with the components
3		that went into production with the voluntary
4		upgrade program, right?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Let's go down to the second page.
7		Same question, do you see the
8		negative 90-degree angle, it says, "Release on
9		drop number 17, manual safety was engaged
10		after drop."
11		Do you see that?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	So similar to the above, there was an issue
14		indicative of a drop fire on drop number seven
15		and after inspecting the pistol, the manual
16		safety was found to be engaged following the
17		drop, right?
18	A	What it is saying is there wasn't a fire,
19		there was a striker release, and the manual
20		safety was engaged after the drop.
21	Q	Thank you. And let's take a look at the third
22		and final page.
23		Here we are seeing a fire on drop
		Page 119

1		number 16 and a release on number 20, and the
2		same issue with the manual safety was found to
3		be engaged after both releases, right?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	You can set this document aside, please. One
6		moment, please.
7		(Whereupon, Exhibit Number 64,
8		E-mail, marked for identification.)
9	Q	I made Exhibit 64 available. Let me know when
10		you have that up, please.
11	А	Okay.
12	Q	Have you seen Exhibit 64 before?
13	А	I have not, but it is an e-mail addressed to
14		me. I just don't recall reading it.
15	Q	It is actually, for the sake of the record, it
16		says it is an e-mail from you
17	А	Excuse me.
18	Q	No problem. Long day for us all. This is
19		dated November 23, 2016, right?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	And below that, there is an e-mail from Adrian
22		Thomele to Ed Murphy that you are copied on?
23	А	Yes.
		Page 120

1 for sale? 2 We did look at some of the NATO orientations, Α 3 yes. 4 0 Same question about ULM standards? We did not do ULM standards, but again, ULM is 5 Α 6 a standard in which they pretty much drop it 7 at whatever angle they want. It is not a 8 super-defined spec. 9 Is there a standard under which state tested Q P320 before its initial sale -- strike that. 10 11 Is there any particular standard on 12 this list that Sig followed when testing the 13 P320 prior to its initial sale, in connection 14 with drop testing? 15 SAAMI and NIJ. As well as NATO. Α Yes. 16 Does it surprise you that there is two 0 17 occurrences of drop buyers under the NIJ 18 standard for the P320 SC model? 19 Objection to form. MR. JOYCE: 20 can answer over objection. Objection to the 21 foundation. You can answer over the 22 objection. 23 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the Page 129

1 question? 2 Does it at all surprise you there were two Q 3 drop fires when testing the P320 SC pursuant 4 to NIJ standards, right? 5 MR. JOYCE: Same objection. 6 THE WITNESS: No, it doesn't. 7 Q Prior to selling the P320, Sig had conduct drop testing pursuant to the NIJ standards, 8 9 right? 10 Α Correct. 11 Presumably no drop fires were found when Q 12 conducting those tests, right? 13 Correct. Α 14 Do you know why two drop fires were found when 0 15 doing testing pursuant and to the NIJ standards here and no drop fires had occurred 16 17 previously? 18 One of the things you will notice on the Α 19 spreadsheet is that there is multiple drops, 20 you see the amount of drops as 70. So we were 21 dropping the gun multiple times and one orientation and moving to another orientation 22 dropping it multiple times, which is beyond 23 Page 130